

Review our Expected Standards of Behavior when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to: http://go.icann.org/expected-standards

Review the ICANN Community Anti-Harassment Policy when participating in ICANN Meetings.

Go to: http://go.icann.org/anti-harassment



Do you have a question or concern for the ICANN Ombudsman?

Email ombudsman@icann.org to set up a meeting.





**CANCÚN** 11-16 March 2023

# **Joint Session: GAC and ALAC**

Tuesday, 14 March 2023 09:00-10:00

# Agenda

- 1. Introduction and welcome, GAC/ALAC Chairs Jonathan Zuck, ALAC Chair; and Manal Ismail, GAC Chair (10 mins)
- 2. Follow up on the 2017 joint Advice to the Board entitled "Enabling Inclusive, Informed and Meaningful Participation at ICANN: A Joint Statement by ALAC and GAC." Alan Greenberg, ALAC; Jorge Cancio, GAC (10 mins)
- 3. WSIS+20 Review and Furthering the multistakeholder model Looking ahead to the plenary session Nigel Hickson, GAC; Marita Moll, ALAC/At-Large (10 mins)
- 4. DNS abuse in the context of contemporary policy advancements coordinating the multistakeholder approach Hadia Elminiawi, ALAC; Laureen Kapin, GAC (10 mins)
- 5. **Q&A** moderated by Joanna Kulesza, ALAC (10 mins)
- 6. Summary and wrap-up / GAC/ALAC Chairs Jonathan Zuck, ALAC Chair; and Manal Ismail, GAC Chair (10 mins)



## Follow-Up on 2017 Joint Advice - Background

#### Background

- During ICANN60 (2017), the GAC and ALAC developed a joint statement titled, "<u>Enabling Inclusive, Informed and Meaningful Participation at ICANN</u>."
- In 2018, the ALAC issued a follow-up <u>statement</u> with input from the GAC.
- The Joint Advice recommends two ways ICANN org can use a document management system and executive summaries to help volunteers and newcomers to quickly find and understand important documents.
- In response to the Advice, the ICANN Board introduced ICANN's development of the Information and Transparency Initiative (ITI), an operational activity to improve ICANN's content governance and infrastructure, as an approach to address the recommendations.
- The ALAC and GAC question if ITI addresses the core recommendations of their Advice.



#### **Recommendation 1.**

ICANN to *develop a simple and efficient document management system* that allows – even to non-insiders – an *easy and quick access to ICANN documents*.

- a. Documents should have a title, a date and/or reference number.
- b. Documents should identify the author and indicate intended recipients, and make reference to the process it belongs to.
- c. Acronyms should be explained.

#### **Recommendation 2.**

ICANN to produce executive summaries, key points, and synopses for all relevant issues, processes and activities, made easily understandable to nonexperts.

- Stakeholders should be able to (a) determine if a particular issue is of concern to them; and (b) participate in the policy process easily and effectively, on equal footing with other stakeholders.
- b. This should be done at least for issues put up for Public Comment.



## **ALAC Perspective on Joint Statement**

- Advice requested a "quick fix" to address current GAC & ALAC problems.
  - In retrospect, using the expression "simple and efficient document management system" a mistake!
- New ITI features are great!!!
  - But do not address what we needed.

## WE STILL NEED!

- Identify documents to avoid confusion (document number, revisions, authors).
- Easy to understand summaries (minimal jargon).

#### **Overall reaction: Annoyance!**



## GAC perspective on Joint statement:

- The goal behind the statement was fostering meaningful, inclusive and informed participation.
- after 5 years:
  - is the document management system used in ICANN clearer and more user-friendly?
  - are documents easily identifiable (reference number)?
  - do all relevant documents contain understandable and user-friendly synopsis?
  - (example)
- reactions from the audience?
- should the Board and/or Org be asked where they stand today?



## Looking ahead to the ICANN76 Plenary Session

- Session (GAC proposal) focused on raising awareness of the WSIS+20 Review process;
- Highlight importance of ICANN involvement (not just presenting facts) in the process;
- Will also look at how we might enhance multi-stakeholder processes.



From an ALAC perspective,

- what are the essentials necessary to protect the global Internet from the end user point of view, and how to work with politicians to formulate a long-term vision?
- Canadian context and experiences and recent input from the At Large community.



#### Areas for discussion

- Contract compliance and transparency around DNS abuse
- Accuracy of data Privacy Requirements and RDS
- Reporting of incidents and statistics



# Implementation status of relevant CCT and SSR2 Review Recommendations

#### Complete:

- Monitor and Enforce Compliance Rec. 9.1
- Resolve CZDS Data Access Problems Rec. 11.1
- *Privacy Requirements and RDS Rec. 16.1*

#### In Progress:

- *Provide Clarity on Definitions of Abuse-related Terms Rec.10.1*
- To complete implementation of SSR1 Recommendations Rec.1.1
- SSR1: 10 "ICANN should continue its efforts to step up contract compliance enforcement and provide adequate resources for this function. ICANN also should develop and implement a more structured process for monitoring compliance issues and investigations. (Status: ?)
- SSR1 Recommendation 15 "ICANN should act as a facilitator in the responsible disclosure and dissemination of DNS security threats and mitigation techniques." (Status: ?)



### **DNS Abuse in the context of contemporary policy advancements**

- The GAC welcomes the ongoing contract negotiations as a positive development to raise the floor on concrete actions that Registries and Registrars can take to mitigate DNS Abuse.
- The outcome of these negotiations will be a useful first step and targeted PDPs could follow.
- Voluntary efforts are welcome too (though we note that the such efforts do not persistent bad actors this topic is subject of certain CCT/SSR2 Recs).



# Questions

